

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/771,797	01/29/2001	Martin Hoffmann		7837	
:	7590 02/11/2003				
Patrick J. Walsh, Esq.			EXAMINER		
400 Main Stree Stamford, CT			PRASAD, CHANDRIKA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2839		
			DATE MAILED: 02/11/2003	;	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Office Action Summan	09/771,797	HOFFMANN ET AL.			
· Office Action Summary		Examin r	Art Unit			
	The SUBJECT CO.	Chandrika Prasad	2839			
ŧ	Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on th cov r sh t with the corresp ndenc address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
Status						
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 D	ecember 2002 .				
2a)☐		s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4,5,12,16,17 and 19</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1.2,6-11 and 13-1518</u> is/are rejected.					
7)						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 29 January 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17 2(a))						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Summary (P 5) Notice of Informal Pat 6) Other:	PTO-413) Paper No(s) ent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Trac PTO-326 (Rev.		n Summary	Part of Paper No. 6			

Application/Control Number: 09/771,797

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Figures 1-9 and claims 1-3,6-11,13-15, 18, 20-22 in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged.

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to because
 - Hatch lines are not shown in Figure 6, which is a sectional view.
- Circuit board 18 is not clearly shown in Figure 4.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "7" has been used to designate both guide slots and guide plates (see Page 10, line 23 and page 15, line 2). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "15" and "20" have both been used to designate wave guide pairs (see Page 15, lines 4 and 25). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 5. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 15 in Figure

Application/Control Number: 09/771,797

Art Unit: 2839

8. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 6. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

- 8. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - What is meant by more positive and more negative (see page 10, lines 21-22)?
- The specification recites transmit and receive paths, signals and ports, which should be changed to transmitting and receiving paths, signals and ports.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/771,797

Art Unit: 2839

Claim Obj ctions

- 9. Claim 13 is objected to because of the following informalities: What is meant by three-pair plates? Appropriate correction is required.
- 10. Claims 1-20 are objected to because of the following informalities: The claims recite transmit and receive paths, signals and ports, which should be changed to transmitting and receiving paths, signals and ports. Appropriate correction is required.
- 11. Claim 1, line 6; Claim 2, line 6; and Claim 6, line 6: "w" should be enclosed within parenthesis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

12. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 13. Claims 1-3, 6, 12, 13 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.
 - The independent claims 1, 2 and 6 recite an equation "w = (n) x (n-1)/2 which has
 not been described in the specification.
 - Claim 12 recites "cardguide stations", which has not been described in the specification.
 - The independent claim 13 recites "stack of waveguide plates having four three-pair plates", which has not been described in the specification.

.Application/Control Number: 09/771,797 Page 5

Art Unit: 2839

 The independent claim 14 recites "(n)/2 of (n-2)/2" and "((n/2)) of (n)/2", which have not been described in the specification.

- 14. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 15. Claims 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 16. Claim 7 recites the limitation "the body" in line 2 and "the surface" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.
- 17. Claim 11 recites the limitation "the body" in line 3 and "the surface" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.
- 18. Claim 18 recites the limitation "the body" in line 3 and "the surface" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.
- 19. Claim 20 Claim 11 recites the limitation "the body" in line 3 and "the surface" in line
- 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.
- 20. Claim 21 recites the limitation "the body" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 21. Claim 22 recites the limitation "the surface" in line 5 and "the surface" in line 6.

 There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

22. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Page 6

"Application/Control Number: 09/771,797

Art Unit: 2839

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

23. Claims 7-12, 18 and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Popoff.

Popoff (Figures 1-8) shows a plurality (n, six in this case) of waveguide plates, each having several (m, 2 in this case) pairs of side-by-side optically isolated paths with input and output ports for receiving and/or transmitting optical signals and a coupler 16 to connect various signals.

Conclusion

24. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Alferness et al. also shows an interconnect assembly having a plurality of plates with a plurality of waveguides stacked together.

Contact Information

25. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chandrika Prasad at (703) 308-0977. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild, can be reached at (703) 308-2710. The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9318 (general) and (703) 872-9319 for after-final. Any inquiry of a general nature should be directed to the Group receptionist at (703) 308-1782.

Chandrika Prasad

February 6, 2003